In the following report, Hanover Research examines best practices in planning and implementing virtual learning programs. The report reviews literature on strategies for implementing successful virtual learning programs and profiles four school districts with exemplary programs.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND KEY FINDINGS

INTRODUCTION

In the following report, Hanover Research identifies best practices for planning and implementing virtual learning programs. The report is divided into two sections:

- Section I: Best Practices in Implementing Virtual Learning Program compares virtual learning models and identifies best practices in program implementation.
- Section II: Case Profiles provides profiles of districts with exemplary blended and virtual learning programs. The following districts are profiled:
  - Denver Public Schools
  - Evansville Vanderburgh School Corporation
  - Miami-Dade County Public Schools
  - Palisades School District

KEY FINDINGS

- **Research suggests that blended learning models that combine face-to-face and online instruction yield the best student learning outcomes.** Though fully online programs offer many advantages, including flexibility and self-paced instruction, at-risk students may benefit from teacher interaction in a traditional setting.

- **Successful virtual learning programs set clear goals for student learning and provide students and staff with sufficient training and support.** Virtual learning programs should be student-centered and aim to improve student achievement, personalize learning, or provide students with more academic options. Miami-Dade County Public Schools recommends that districts provide comprehensive orientation to help students and staff adapt to a virtual format.

- **Districts interested in launching a comprehensive virtual learning program should allot at least three years for the planning and implementation process.** During the first year, key district stakeholders should participate in strategic planning. Following years should be used to complete activities that focus on developing the program’s content, teaching, technology, and operations.

- **To ensure that virtual learning programs are high-quality, administrators should set outcomes-based quality assurance metrics.** For fully online schools, outcome measures should include student proficiency, individual student growth, graduation rates, college and career readiness, closing the achievement gap, and fidelity to students’ academic goals. For individual courses, outcome metrics should monitor student proficiency and growth along a trajectory.

- **Districts should take at least three months to solicit stakeholder buy-in.** The director of a virtual learning academy in Palisades School District recommends preparing a proposal for the school board that highlights how the virtual learning program will save money, benefit the community, and boost student achievement.
SECTION I: BEST PRACTICES IN IMPLEMENTING VIRTUAL LEARNING PROGRAMS

Virtual learning programs have become increasingly prominent in K-12 education in recent years. According to a report by the International Association for K-12 Online Learning (iNACOL), more than 1.8 million students enrolled in distance education courses in K-12 school districts from 2009-2010, with 74 percent of enrollments in high schools.¹ Online courses offer high school students many benefits, expanding students’ exposure to curriculum options and personalizing students’ learning experiences.² Districts commonly choose to offer online learning programs to provide opportunities for credit recovery and to offer courses that are not otherwise available in the district.³ In this section, Hanover Research reviews the different virtual learning models and examines strategies for implementing successful virtual learning programs.

VIRTUAL LEARNING MODELS

A range of virtual learning models exist, including fully online learning, blended learning, hybrid learning, e-learning, and cyberschools, though many of the terms do not have commonly understood definitions. A report by Evergreen Education Group titled Keeping Pace with K-12 Online Learning provides the following definition for online learning:

Figure 1.1: Definition of Online Learning

Online Learning

• Online learning is teacher-led education that takes place over the Internet, with the teacher and student separated geographically, using a web-based educational delivery system that includes software to provide a structured learning environment. It may be synchronous (communication in which participants interact in real time, such as online video) or asynchronous (communication separated by time, such as email or online discussion forums). It may be accessed from multiple settings (in school and/or out of school buildings).

Source: Evergreen Education Group⁴

⁵Ibid., p. 58.
Online learning models vary in their levels of comprehensiveness, geographic reach, method of delivery, and type of instruction. Programs may be fully online or face-to-face; supplemental or full-time; may exist between school districts, within a school district, nationally, or internationally; and may be delivered synchronously or asynchronously.\(^5\) The major virtual learning programs can be classified into five categories: state virtual school, multi-district, single district, consortium, and post-secondary. Figure 1.2 summarizes the characteristics of each type of online learning program.

**Figure 1.2: Virtual Learning Models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION TYPE</th>
<th>FULL-TIME/ SUPPLEMENTAL</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>GEOGRAPHIC REACH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State virtual school</td>
<td>State education agency</td>
<td>Supplemental</td>
<td>State appropriation, course fees, funding formula</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-district</td>
<td>Charter or district-run</td>
<td>Full-time</td>
<td>Public education funding formula</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-district</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Either or both</td>
<td>District funds</td>
<td>Single district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consortium</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Supplemental</td>
<td>Course fees, consortium member fees</td>
<td>Statewide, national, or global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-secondary</td>
<td>University or college</td>
<td>Either or both</td>
<td>Course fees</td>
<td>National</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Evergreen Education Group\(^6\)

Evidence suggests that virtual learning programs provide students and schools with many benefits. Online learning allows teachers to personalize lessons and provide engaging content that addresses individual students’ needs.\(^7\) In addition, online learning models allow schools to collect student performance data and tailor instruction specifically to the needs of students. Furthermore, online programs allow students to learn at their own pace and access lessons from any location. Virtual learning models expand access to education, allowing students who may otherwise not have had opportunities to take Advanced Placement courses or obtain other academic materials to prepare them for college and their careers.\(^8\) An infographic by Dreambox Learning shows that traditional learning models are decreasing, while online learning is growing in K-12 education. Figure 1.3 presents the number of digital learners in the United States in 2009 and 2014 and summarizes the comparative benefits of virtual learning.

---

\(^6\)Ibid., p. 11.  
\(^9\)Ibid., p. 3.
**Blended Learning Models**

In addition to fully online programs, some educational institutions offer blended learning programs. According to the Christensen Institute, a **blended learning program** is “a formal education program in which a student learns at least in part through online learning, with some element of student control over time, place, path, and/or pace.” Blended learning programs may exist within a school or as stand-alone schools that use a blended format and require students to attend class at a physical site.\(^9\) A meta-analysis of literature on virtual learning by Means, et al. found that **blended learning conditions that combined online and face-to-face instruction had a larger academic advantage for students than purely face-to-face instruction.**\(^11\) However, even with basic supports in place, some at-risk students may still be more likely to struggle in an online environment than in a traditional learning environment.\(^12\)

---


PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION

Studies of online and blended learning schools suggest that programs require careful planning and implementation to be successful. Administrators from Miami-Dade County Public Schools attested to the importance of strategic planning and implementation after implementing a virtual learning lab program in their schools. According to Miami-Dade administrators, schools and districts should allot at least three months to solicit stakeholder support and develop appropriate policies and guidelines for the program. When planning for an online learning program, administrators should focus on students’ needs and consider which types of courses and format can best help students achieve their goals. Program administrators should articulate the educational goals they are trying to achieve. Goals may include personalized learning, improved college readiness, more options for credit recovery, or increased academic challenges for advanced students.

When planning a virtual learning program, district leaders should remain transparent with all stakeholders, establish clear communication channels, and provide sufficient time and information for students and parents to make decisions about enrollment. The district must establish clear goals for the program, answering questions such as the following:

- What grade levels will be served?
- Will the school offer blended learning, supplemental, full-time, or a mix of all?
- Have administrators identified a high quality program leader?
- How will administrators manage the change process?
- How will the blended program impact teacher and student roles and the use of time?
- What are goals in terms of individualizing instruction for students?
- Will the school operate on a traditional school calendar?
- Will courses be open entry/open exit?

Before implementing a program, the district should set clear goals for student learning and ensure that the program’s goals are student-centered. Miami-Dade County Public Schools

---

15Ibid., p. 3.
19Ibid., p. 49.
recommends that districts take the following five steps to plan and implement a virtual learning program.

**Figure 1.4: Steps for Planning a Virtual Learning Program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Select online providers</td>
<td>Selecting the right online providers is crucial to the success of the program. Administrators should conduct a thorough analysis of available options, seeking outcome data from providers that address the student population and course content.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Establish a clear sense of roles and responsibilities</td>
<td>Districts need well-established lines of communication and clearly defined lines of authority between themselves and the vendor to identify and solve problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Educate students and parents about online learning labs</td>
<td>Getting students and their families on board is key to creating a successful program. In Miami-Dade, FLVS administrators noted that student buy-in was crucial to their academic success, with student choice in taking a course playing a large role.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Demonstrate district support at the school level: soliciting school and staff buy-in</td>
<td>Districts should demonstrate their commitment to the blended program by providing schools with resources to promote student success. Introducing school staff to the blended program is another vital step of implementation. By providing education and information on the different roles of school staff, as well as the provider, districts and schools can create clear lines of responsibility and promote communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Adjust bell schedule as needed</td>
<td>Miami-Dade instructed schools to schedule VLL courses in additional periods in order to maintain state funding for full-time equivalent (FTE) students. Twenty participating schools had block schedules that accommodated putting an extra class period in place before VLL implementation. The remaining 18 changed their bell schedule to accommodate an extra course period.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SRI International^{20}

An article from *District Administration* similarly offers a list of necessary steps to implementing a virtual learning or blended learning program. These recommendations range from the practical, such as anticipating the necessary bandwidth for the program, to more abstract, such as working to gather buy-in and remaining flexible during the implementation process.

• **Consider bandwidth:** Prior to purchasing a blended learning platform, districts must ensure that bandwidth in a school building’s infrastructure is strong enough to handle multiple users.

• **Use multiple platforms:** The concept of the “one-stop-shop” as it applies to blended learning is murky because no one program can truly supplant face-to-face instruction and guidance. Different approaches and platforms may work better in some districts than in others.

• **Start small:** Start with a small roll-out so that it eases teachers into this new way of delivering instruction and engaging with students.

• **Leverage the data:** Select teachers who are drawn to data-driven instruction to pilot a blended learning program. This will create a group of in-house specialists who can train other teachers.

• **Stay flexible:** Keep the blended learning program flexible so it can be used in different implementations based on how many modalities the district or school is using for learning.

• **Use collaboration:** Let IT/network experts and curriculum experts collaborate. IT departments are becoming a more strategic voice in school systems that are at the leading edge of technology integration.

• **Get buy-in:** Ease into blended learning, piloting platforms with willing teachers in small doses. The most successful implementations are the ones that aren’t forced.21

**SELECTING A PROVIDER**

Selecting a provider can be one of the most challenging aspects of launching a virtual learning program, as the industry is rapidly changing. Providers vary in the products and services they offer and have distinct advantages. Large providers such as K12 Inc. and Connections provide both entire virtual schools and individual courses. Apex, Edmentum, Pearson, and eDynamic Learning offer individual courses and other supplementary services. Providers also vary in the degree of flexibility they offer educators in the choice of technology platforms, ability to edit content, and capacity to integrate with data systems.22

To understand provider options, Watson et al. recommend that administrators consider the following key points and questions:

---


Figure 1.5: Key Issues and Questions for Selecting Providers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>QUESTIONS TO ASK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Understand the differences between providers who focus on blended or online learning, and those that are more closely aligned to classroom-based educational technology. | ▪ How does your product/service address a situation where at least some instruction is done at a distance?  
▪ How does your product/service allow for individualized instruction for all students? |
| 2. Start by determining your online or blended learning program plan (as described in the following pages), and then issue an RFP based on key parameters of the program. | ▪ Can our teachers modify your content to meet our instructional approaches?  
▪ Can you supply teachers for courses where we don’t have highly qualified teachers available? |
| 3. Determine if you will use your own technology platform that allows for content creation and editing, or if you are seeking content tied to a technology platform. | ▪ Is your online content editable?  
▪ Can your content be put into a variety of technology platforms? |
| 4. Require an online demonstration from a subset of providers. Good providers don’t want to just tell you what they can do, they want to show you as well. Require a demonstration. Require that it be online (not just in slides). Allocate at least 90 minutes for each provider’s demonstration, and drive the presentation to cover what you want to see, which may or may not be what the provider wants to show. Include a variety of staff that will be involved in decision-making and/or daily operation of the online and blended learning program. | ▪ - |
| 5. Have your review team spend time in the courses and compare notes about what you like and what doesn’t work as well, keeping in mind the attributes of the students most likely to be taking the courses. | ▪ Can we access your courses as a teacher, and as a student? |

Source: Evergreen Education Group

Administrators should also consider costs when selecting a provider and launching a virtual learning program. Course providers and online instruction present significant costs in addition to hardware, software, and technology infrastructure. Program facilitator staffing and time spent by district leaders, counselors, and IT staff may also present considerable costs.

**Implementation Timeline**

According to the 2013 *Keeping Pace with K-12 Online Learning* report by Evergreen Education Group, implementing a comprehensive district blended and online learning program can take up to three years in a district that has limited experience with virtual classrooms. **In order to launch a fully online program or supplemental online classes, the district should complete a full year of strategic planning, followed by two years of activities that focus on content, teaching, technology, and operations.** The ultimate goal

---

23 ibid., pp. 46-47.  
of the planning process should be a fully online school with supplemental options for all students at each grade level and blended course options in core course subjects.\textsuperscript{26} The following figure presents Evergreen Education Group’s proposed three-year timeline for launching a blended learning program.

\textsuperscript{26}Ibid., p. 65.
### Figure 1.6: Timeline for Implementation of Comprehensive Blended Learning Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FALL</th>
<th>SPRING</th>
<th>SUMMER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Planning</td>
<td>1. Identify courses, content, and platforms shared between full and supplemental programs</td>
<td>1. Configure and prepare courses/content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Needs analysis</td>
<td>o <strong>Blended</strong>: Identify math content and platform unique to blended</td>
<td>2. Continue teacher PD with focus on special education accommodations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Readiness assessment</td>
<td>o <strong>Supplemental and full</strong>: Identify course gaps</td>
<td>3. Plan for providing off-school Internet access for all students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Current status</td>
<td>4. Identify teachers</td>
<td>o <strong>Supplemental</strong>: Train school-level facilitators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Strategic planning: key stakeholders, administrators, teachers, parents, students, superintendent, school board, community</td>
<td>o <strong>Blended</strong>: Existing teachers; no change to schedule or contract</td>
<td>5. Communicate device specs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Program definition</td>
<td>o <strong>Supplemental</strong>: Existing teachers who will teach some online and some full</td>
<td>6. Plan for tech support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Instructional Strategies</td>
<td>o <strong>Full</strong>: Teachers are likely to be new and fully online</td>
<td>7. Continue outreach to students and parents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Identify project leader</td>
<td>8. Select and begin PD for teachers unique to blended or online</td>
<td>o <strong>Full</strong>: Summer push to non-district families</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. School board buy-in</td>
<td>o <strong>Blended</strong>: PD is math-specific as well as covering blended pedagogy</td>
<td>9. Configure flexible learning spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Assess existing facilities and technology</td>
<td>11. Develop device specs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Identify existing programs, courses, providers, teachers, student enrollments</td>
<td>12. Develop communications plan and website</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Identify course gaps</td>
<td>13. Begin outreach to students and parents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Assess quality and outcomes</td>
<td>o <strong>Blended</strong>: Explain to families</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Assess teacher preparation</td>
<td>o <strong>Full</strong>: Outreach to non-district families</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Existing policies and funding</td>
<td>16. Counselor training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Initial stakeholder outreach</td>
<td>17. Create pilot year budget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Project leader, instructional team, administrative leaders to iNACOL conference</td>
<td>18. Update school board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Year 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fall</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Launch unified supplemental program</td>
<td>- Assess courses/providers</td>
<td>- Identify courses/content to develop in-house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Launch fully online high school</td>
<td>- Continue PD for both new and experienced teachers</td>
<td>- Identify data integration and reporting strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Launch blended learning pilot in math across schools</td>
<td>- Blended: PD is ELA-specific as well as covering blended learning pedagogy</td>
<td>- Continue PD for both new and experienced teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Identify additional courses for each program</td>
<td>- Identify new local facilitators</td>
<td>- Train/mentor local facilitators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Identify additional teachers</td>
<td>- Continue outreach using successful student stories</td>
<td>- Issue first year evaluation report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Create teacher PLC and mentoring</td>
<td>- Expand counselor training</td>
<td>- Expand PD for building leaders and administrators and create PLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Establish quality teaching standards in each instructional modality</td>
<td>- Expand learning spaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Plan for enterprise integration of platform and existing SIS</td>
<td>- Refine budget to plan for scaling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Blended: Test bandwidth and network configuration</td>
<td>- Revisit and update strategic plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Supplemental: Test bandwidth and network configuration again</td>
<td>- Update school board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Provide tech support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Plan for program evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Launch fully online middle school and elementary schools</td>
<td>- Remove ineffective courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extend blended learning to ELA courses across schools</td>
<td>- Develop courses/content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Add courses to provide full course catalog</td>
<td>- First online teacher conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Blended: Identify content and platform in additional disciplines</td>
<td>- Issue second year evaluation report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Identify teachers to develop courses</td>
<td>- Configure next generation learning spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extend teacher PLC and mentoring to new teachers and new disciplines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Blended PD is specific to new disciplines as well as covering blended learning pedagogy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Plan for increasing numbers of users accessing system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Year 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fall</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Grow unified supplemental program</td>
<td>- Assess courses/providers</td>
<td>- Remove ineffective courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Launch fully online middle school and elementary schools</td>
<td>- Provide course development PD</td>
<td>- Develop courses/content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extend blended learning to ELA courses across schools</td>
<td>- Integrate into district budget</td>
<td>- First online teacher conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Add courses to provide full course catalog</td>
<td>- Integrate with district strategic plan</td>
<td>- Issue second year evaluation report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Blended: Identify content and platform in additional disciplines</td>
<td>- Update school board</td>
<td>- Configure next generation learning spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Identify teachers to develop courses</td>
<td>- Blended PD is specific to new disciplines as well as covering blended learning pedagogy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extend teacher PLC and mentoring to new teachers and new disciplines</td>
<td>- Plan for increasing numbers of users accessing system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Blended PD is specific to new disciplines as well as covering blended learning pedagogy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Plan for increasing numbers of users accessing system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FALL</td>
<td>SPRING</td>
<td>SUMMER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o <strong>Blended</strong>: Test bandwidth and network</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>configuration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o <strong>Supplemental</strong>: Test bandwidth and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>network configuration again</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Scale for growth of tech support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Continue all elements of program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Open courses to out-of-district</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Continue outreach using successful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>student stories</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Design next generation learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spaces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Evergreen Education Group

---

27 Ibid., pp. 62-65.
STUDENT SUPPORT

Research suggests that certain instructional practices and student support strategies contribute to greater student success within a virtual learning model. For instance, an article in *Educational Leadership* promotes creating a student-centered learning environment in a blended learning classroom and encouraging students to use technology to solve problems, communicate, and collaborate with one another.\(^ {28}\) Research from the virtual learning lab program in Miami-Dade shows the value in blended classrooms that allow students to interact with peers.\(^ {29}\) Furthermore, a meta-analysis of literature on online and blended learning by the U.S. Department of Education demonstrates the positive impact of tools that promote student reflection and self-monitoring of online learning outcomes. The review suggests that tools that prompt self-assessment had an even greater impact on student outcomes than tools that promote group learning and collaboration.\(^ {30}\)

Furthermore, adequate student training and technical support can improve student success rates in virtual learning environments. All virtual students should complete a mandatory orientation program to learn the program’s expectations, familiarize themselves with the learning interface, and adapt to the online tools. Teachers and facilitators should provide ongoing support and encourage students to pace themselves appropriately and communicate effectively with online instructors. Finally, the district should provide adequate technical support and IT services to solve students’ technical, maintenance, and repair issues.\(^ {31}\)

PROGRAM QUALITY

As virtual learning is a nascent industry, models vary widely in quality and effectiveness.\(^ {32}\) In an effort to differentiate high-quality, effective virtual programs from low-quality options, iNACOL proposes outcomes-based quality assurance metrics to monitor program performance.\(^ {33}\) Quality assurance outcomes differ for fully online schools and individual online courses. For virtual schools, quality assurance should include the collection of data related to student proficiency, individual student growth, graduation rates, college and career readiness, closing the achievement gap, and fidelity to student academic goals.\(^ {34}\) Quality assurance for individual courses should include collection of data related to proficiency and student growth along a trajectory.\(^ {35}\) End of course exams alone cannot be an appropriate measure of student outcomes because they measure proficiency, but do not measure student growth. As a result, iNACOL recommends that providers administer pre-
and post-course exams to measure student learning throughout a single course.\textsuperscript{36} For fully online schools, iNACOL makes the following recommendations for developing outcomes-based performance measures:

**Figure 1.7: Outcomes-based Performance Measures for Online Learning**

- **Multiple measures of student outcomes should be in place**
  - Measures of proficiency and student growth should be considered.

- **Individual student performance should be measured and reported transparently based on standards.**
  - Rather than a cohort approach, individual student progress should be measured.

- **Growth models should be based on the growth of individual students over time, not on cohorts.**
  - Growth calculations should answer the question "what is the student's gain in learning over a period of time?"

- **Untested subjects and grade levels must be assessed with validating assessments that can measure both proficiency and growth.**
  - Gaps in assessments over time should be reduced so a full picture of student learning emerges.

- **Online school data should be disaggregated separately from other schools or districts to assure accurate data.**
  - Online schools should have a separate school code so their data can be analyzed.

- **Online schools must be provided student performance data and prior student records on academic history from the school the student previously attended in a timely manner.**
  - Prior academic performance is a key benchmarking indicator, but it may be challenging for online schools to receive that information.

- **Data systems must be upgraded and better aligned to meet the challenge of collecting, reporting, and passing data between schools and the state.**
  - Student information systems must be upgraded to report on student-level, standards-based proficiency levels.

- **Student fidelity toward academic goals and reasons for mobility must be addressed in data systems and accountability ratings.**
  - Student mobility data should be supplemented with information about students' proficiency levels, academic progress, and fidelity to overall goals.

Source: iNACOL\textsuperscript{37}

\textsuperscript{36}Ibid., p. 20.
\textsuperscript{37}Ibid., pp. 15-17.
SECTION II: CASE PROFILES

In this section, Hanover Research profiles school districts across the country with exemplary virtual learning programs. The districts included in our review have been awarded for their successful program implementation.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Miami-Dade County Public Schools is a large school district serving nearly 350,000 students in Miami-Dade County, Florida.\(^{38}\) In an effort to address teacher shortage issues and reduce class sizes in core subjects, the district opened several online learning lab sites at schools throughout the district.\(^{39}\) A total of 38 of the district’s public high schools and 5,500 students participated in the program during its pilot year from 2010-2011.\(^{40}\) In addition, the district offers a fully online educational program through Miami-Dade Online Academy. The school offers students in kindergarten through grade 12 a full-time virtual educational program.\(^{41}\)

PROGRAM STRUCTURE

Miami-Dade partners with Florida Virtual School (FLVS), a fully online state-wide public high school, to provide its courses. Students participate at an online learning site and log in to courses taught by FLVS instructors. Lab facilitators support students at each site and troubleshoot technical difficulties.\(^ {42}\) Students are able to call, email, or text online instructors if they need help. They may complete core coursework or Advanced Placement courses in virtual labs.\(^ {43}\) At the district’s full-time online academy, students in kindergarten through grade 12 may complete basic course requirements as well as Honors and Advanced Placement high school coursework. All students are eligible to enroll in the program, including students enrolled in drop-out prevention, academic intervention, and Department of Juvenile Justice programs.\(^ {44}\)

OUTCOMES

The implementation of the virtual learning lab program in Miami-Dade was met with mixed responses from district stakeholders. Some teachers expressed concern with the quality of online instruction, and students noted that completing online courses demands self-
motivation. Furthermore, online courses place a burden on students that do not have access to a computer or Internet at home. Overall, the implementation of online labs effectively reduced class sizes, but should be carefully considered before it is mandated for all students in a district. According to a review of the Miami-Dade program by SRI International, to successfully implement an online learning lab program, districts should provide orientation programs, ongoing support through lab facilitators, opportunities for interaction, access to Internet-enabled computers outside of the scheduled lab time, and sufficient technical support to allow all students to complete assignments on time.

**Palisades School District**

Palisades School District serves 1,800 students in the eastern Pennsylvania region. The district launched a Palisades Cyber Academy for students entering grades 11 and 12 in the 2011-2012 school year. The Cyber Academy aimed to provide flexible scheduling options to students and expand access to the district’s resources, programs, and services. A focus on fiscal responsibility and global connections, demand for e-learning options, and desire to impact student achievement influenced the district’s decision to launch the program.

**Program Structure**

The online and hybrid Palisades Cyber Academy offers full-time and part-time hybrid learning opportunities, allowing students to supplement a traditional high school schedule or complete coursework fully online. The district partners with Blended Schools and uses the Blackboard platform to provide its virtual curriculum. In its first year, the Cyber Academy served 25 full-time students and 100 traditional students who enrolled in an online course. Rich Kiker, Director of Online Learning, estimates the program’s total start-up costs to be $35,000. The curriculum includes over 100 course options for high school, middle school, and elementary school students, as well as credit recovery options through the Palisades High School Virtual Credit Recovery Center.

Rather than relying on outsourced instruction, local high school teachers instruct core courses at the Cyber Academy, giving students the opportunity to interact with their
teacher in person. Cyber Academy instructors teach traditional classes for two periods and interact with online students during the third period. During the summer before the program launched, Cyber Academy teachers attended six days of professional development training sessions. Professional development training taught teachers how to design a course, deliver courses through a learning management system, and provide quality content. The Academy’s Director of Online Learning trained teachers and helped them feel comfortable with online teaching.  

**OUTCOMES**

Overall, the Cyber Academy has demonstrated positive outcomes. In addition to increasing college readiness, improving digital literacy, and improving the technical capacity of the community, the program has helped reduce district spending on virtual learning. The district previously paid nearly $700,000 to cyber charter schools, but has saved at least $275,000 since launching the Cyber Academy.  

According to Kiker, the district was transparent with the community throughout the implementation process and easily solicited stakeholder buy-in. Kiker recommends that districts interested in implementing a virtual program prepare a proposal for the school board that highlights how the program can save money, benefit the community, and positively impact student achievement. After receiving the School Board’s support, districts should begin the action planning process and identify a proactive program leader who is both able to build relationships and apply his or her technical expertise. As a result of the program’s exemplary performance, the district was awarded the Blended Schools Network’s 2013 Jubilee Award for excellence in blended and online learning.  

**DENVER PUBLIC SCHOOLS**

Denver Public Schools (DPS) is a large district serving nearly 88,000 students in the Denver, Colorado area. In 2012, the district received a $2.1 million grant from the Janus Foundation to implement blended learning programs in its schools. With the Janus Foundation funds, DPS has launched blended learning labs in 11 elementary, middle, and high schools. Over 3,400 students in grades 7 through 12 participate in DPS’ blended learning programs. The programs aim to provide students with educational opportunities tailored to their individual needs, increase the on-time graduation rate, and reduce the dropout rate. The district offers the following educational options through blended learning: Advanced Placement, credit recovery, original credit for transfer students, intervention

---

through unit recovery, AP exam preparation, GED preparation, and middle school intervention.61

**PROGRAM STRUCTURE**

At its 11 blended learning sites, DPS incorporates an appropriate classroom environment, a curriculum with premier online learning systems and instructional tools, physical technology and technical support, and appropriate training to help teachers successfully operate in the environment.62 The DPS blended classroom model is guided by the non-profit organization Generation Schools Network’s model, which aims to expand learning time for each student without increasing teacher work time, reduce class sizes, reduce teacher work load, increase schools’ capacity to collect and analyze data, and leverage emerging technologies in the classroom. At each blended classroom site, students work independently with adaptive software, work in collaborative small groups, or work with teachers one-on-one or in small-group instruction. Teachers collect and monitor student data to make decisions about students’ instructional needs, such as determining the amount of individualized instruction each student requires.63

**OUTCOMES**

Since its inception, the blended learning program at Denver Public Schools has had a measurable impact on student outcomes.64 During its first year, the blended learning programs have resulted in:65

- The creation of a replicable and sustainable model for introducing blended learning into DPS classrooms;
- Improved learning outcomes for participating students through individualized learning opportunities;
- Freeing up teachers to work one-on-one with students at the point of need or opportunity; and
- Increased demand within the district for education innovation.

Furthermore, data from the Colorado Department of Education show a decline in the dropout rate to 5.0 percent, a 2.5 percent improvement in the graduation rate, and a 3.5 percent improvement in the five-year graduation rate.66 In addition, students at West

---

64 Bulleted points adapted from: Ibid.
Generation Academy (WGA) and Grant Beacon Middle School demonstrated improvements in academic achievement. WGA students improved two grade levels in math and one grade level in literacy on STAR Reading and Math formative assessments. Results from Grant Beacon Middle School indicate improvements in student scores in advanced reading and math, and decreases in unsatisfactory scores in reading and math on the Transitional Colorado Assessment Program (TCAP). The principal at Grant Beacon attributes the achievement gains to the new blended learning model. The district received a 2014 Apex Learning Award of Excellence for its exemplary programming.

Teachers have also responded favorably to the introduction of blended learning in DPS. Jeff Kurtz, a teacher at Grant Beacon Academy, expressed satisfaction with the blended learning technology’s capacity to track students individually and instantly, rather than waiting to grade assignments. Kurtz also noted that blended learning has given him the tools to individualize instruction and allows students to learn at their own pace. According to Kurtz, “it’s truly changed how I’m teaching.”

**EVANSVILLE VANDERBURGH SCHOOL CORPORATION**

Evansville Vanderburgh School Corporation (EVSC) is a large district that includes 39 schools in southwestern Indiana. The district offers five specialized schools, including New Tech Institute, Early College High School, Virtual Academy, STEM Academy, and Academy for Innovative Studies. EVSC launched a Virtual Academy to increase student achievement and engagement and provide students with options beyond the traditional classroom.

**PROGRAM STRUCTURE**

EVSC’s Virtual Academy offers a flexible curriculum for high school students and a curriculum for students in kindergarten through grade 8. Students enrolled in the EVSC High School Virtual Academy have the opportunity to earn credits for credit recovery or credit advancement in English, social studies, science, math, Advanced Placement courses, and courses approved by the National Collegiate Athletic Association. The school partners with Apex Learning to deliver a digital curriculum that meets core subject requirements. The curriculum offers multiple pathways to meet students’ various learning needs. The standards-based curriculum is designed to provide students with active learning

---

70 Ibid.
71.”About.” EVSC. http://www.evscschools.com/about
73.”What is Virtual Academy?” Evansville Vanderburgh School Corporation. http://www.edlinesites.net/pages/Virtual_Academy/About_Us/What_is_Virtual_Academy_
74.”Overview.” Evansville Vanderburgh School Corporation. http://www.edlinesites.net/pages/Virtual_Academy/Departments___Programs/High_School_Curriculum/Overvie w
experiences, multimedia tutorials, and interactive exercises that address different learning styles. In addition to the Virtual School, the school system’s high schools offer in-school online classes—similar to virtual learning labs—that allow students to receive support from a classroom teacher while working independently through the curriculum. The Virtual Academy serves 150 students in grades 7 through 12.

OUTCOMES

Since introducing the Virtual Academy, EVSC has seen a 3 percent increase in graduation rates. A study of the program found that students who completed the virtual learning program achieved similar academic gains on the Indiana State Test of Educational Progress as regular students. Results indicated that students who completed online courses for credit recovery achieved at the same level as their peers in a traditional classroom, and that students’ ability to work at their own pace, engage in active learning, and receive targeted support in the virtual learning environment allowed them to master a rigorous curriculum. EVSC was awarded a 2014 Apex Learning Award of Excellence for its excellent program.

75“Instructional Content.” Evansville Vanderburgh School Corporation. http://www.edlinesites.net/pages/Virtual_Academy/Departments_Programs/High_School_Curriculum/Instructional_Content
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